arXiv:1012.5152v2 [math.OA] 13 Oct 2011

SPECTRAL METRIC SPACES FOR GIBBS MEASURES

M. KESSEBÖHMER AND T. SAMUEL

Abstract. We construct spectral metric spaces for Gibbs measures on a one-sided topologically exact subshift of finite type. That is, for a given Gibbs measure we construct a spectral triple and show that Connes' corresponding pseudo-metric is a metric and that its metric topology agrees with the weak-$*$-topology on the state space over the set of continuous functions defined on the subshift. Moreover, we show that each Gibbs measure can be fully recovered from the noncommutative integration theory and that the noncommutative volume constant of the associated spectral triple is equal to the reciprocal of the measure theoretical entropy of the shift invariant Gibbs measure.

1. Introduction

In this paper we contribute to the on going research on formulating a noncommutative fractal geometry. The starting point of our investigations is the work of Antonescu-Ivan and Christensen [1] where the metric aspects of a spectral triple for an AF (approximately finite) C^*-algebra are considered.

One aspect of noncommutative geometry, or more precisely, the notion of a spectral triple, is to analyse geometric spaces using operator algebras, particularly C^*-algebras. This idea first appeared in the work of Gelfand and Naĭmark [16], where it was shown that a C^*-algebra can be seen as a generalisation of the ring of complex-valued continuous functions on a locally compact metric space. In the 1980s Connes [10, 12] formalised the notion of noncommutative geometry and, in doing so, showed that the tools of Riemannian geometry can be extended to certain non-Hausdorff spaces known as "bad quotients" and to spaces of a "fractal" nature. In particular, Connes proposed the concept of a spectral triple.

Definition. A *spectral triple* is a triple (A, H, D) consisting of a C^*-algebra A, which acts faithfully on a separable Hilbert space H, and an essentially self-adjoint unbounded operator D defined on H with compact resolvent such that the set

$$\{a \in A : \text{the operator } [D, \pi(a)] \text{ extends to a bounded operator defined on } H\}$$

is dense in A. (Here $\pi : A \to B(H)$ denotes the faithful action of A on H.)

Connes showed that with such a structure one can obtain a pseudo-metric on the state space $\mathcal{S}(A)$ of A, analogous to how the Monge-Kantorovitch metric is defined on the space of probability measures on a compact metric space. In 1998 Rieffel [33] and Pavlović [30], independently, established conditions under which Connes' pseudo-metric is a metric and established conditions under which the metric topology of Connes' pseudo-metric is equivalent to the weak-$*$-topology defined on $\mathcal{S}(A)$ - Theorem 2.3. In the situation that Connes' pseudo-metric is a metric we call the

---

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative Geometry, Spectral Triple, Entropy, Gibbs Measure, Equilibrium Measure, Subshift of Finite Type, Renewal Theory.

spectral triple a *spectral metric space*. Further, Connes noted that the Dixmier trace provides the proper analogue of integration in the contexts of a spectral triple and developed a notion of dimension, called metric dimension. To justify that his approach was the correct approach, in [10, 12] he proved that for an arbitrary smooth compact spin^c Riemannian manifold there exists a spectral triple from which the metrical information, the measure theoretical information, the smooth structure of the manifold and much else can be recovered. This illustrates that a spectral triple allows one to move beyond the limits of classical Riemannian geometry. That is to say, not only is one able to recover classical aspects of Riemannian geometry, but through the notion of a spectral triple one is able to extend the tools of Riemannian geometry to situations that present themselves at the boundary of classically defined objects, for instance, objects which "live" on the boundary of Teichmüller space (such as the noncommutative torus, see also [20]) or those of a "fractal" nature (such as the middle third Cantor set). Although one of the original motivations for noncommutative geometry was to be able to deal with non-Hausdorff spaces, such as foliated manifolds, which are often best represented by a noncommutative C^*-algebra (see [12, 27, 34, 40, 21]), this new theory has scope, even when the C^*-algebra is commutative.

1.1. **A brief history of a fractal noncommutative geometry.** In Connes' seminal book [12], the concept of a noncommutative fractal geometry is introduced. Consequently, a remarkable amount of interest has developed in this subject. In Chapter IV of [12], numerous examples are given to indicate how fractal sets can be represented by spectral triples. Connes' examples include non-empty compact totally disconnected subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ with no isolated points, Julia sets of endomorphisms of the complex plane and limit sets of Fuchsian groups of the second kind. Subsequently, in 1997 Lapidus [26] proposed several ways in which the notions of a noncommutative fractal geometry could be extended, after which several important articles on the subject appeared. For instance, Guido and Isola [18] analysed the spectral triple presented by Connes for limit fractals in $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfy a certain separation condition. (Note that such sets are non-empty compact totally disconnected and have no isolated points.) There, the authors investigated aspects of Connes' pseudo-metric, the metric dimension and the noncommutative integral of Connes' spectral triple. In [17] this construction and analysis is extended to limit fractals in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In [15] Falconer and Samuel have modified this construction to describe multifractal phenomena. Further, Antonescu-Ivan and Christensen [1] have provided a construction of a spectral triple for an AF C^*-algebra with particular focus on aspects of Connes' pseudo-metric. In [3] the authors give several examples of spectral triples which represent fractal sets such as the von Koch curve and the Sierpiński gasket. There, the authors showed that for such sets the Hausdorff dimension can be recovered and that Connes' pseudo-metric induces a metric equivalent to the metric induced by the ambient space on the given set. More recently, in [5] the authors adapt Connes' spectral triple to represent the code space $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with an ultra-metric d. There it is shown that an adaptation of Connes' pseudo-metric gives rise to a metric equal to d. Further, they proved that the box-counting dimension can be recovered and that a noncommutative integration theory gives rise to an integral with respect to the normalised δ-dimensional Hausdorff measure on the metric space $(\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, d)$. (Here, δ denotes the Hausdorff dimension of $(\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, d)$.)

1.2. **Main results.** We generalise the notion of a Haar basis which is well established for the the middle third Cantor set to the setting of a one-sided topologically exact subshift of finite type $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \sigma)$ equipped with a Gibbs measure μ_{ϕ} for a Hölder continuous potential function $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R})$ – Theorem 3.5. Using such a construction, in Theorem 4.1 we refine the methods of Antonescu-Ivan and Christensen [1] and derive a spectral triple for each such measure space. From such a representation we shown that a variety of geometric and measure theoretic information can be recovered. In particular, Theorem 4.2 shows that Connes' pseudo-metric, given by our spectral triple, is a metric on the state space $\mathcal{S}(C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C}))$ of the C^*-algebra $C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$, and that the topology induced by this metric is equivalent to the weak-$*$-topology defined on $\mathcal{S}(C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C}))$. Hence, the spectral triple we provide is a spectral metric space. In Theorem 4.3 it is shown that the metric dimension of our spectral triple is equal to one and that the noncommutative integration theory of our spectral triple is capable of recovering the measure ν_{ϕ}. Moreover, it is established that the noncommutative volume constant is equal to the reciprocal of the measure theoretical entropy of ν_{ϕ} with respect to the left shift σ.

1.3. **Organization of paper.** Our work is organised as follows. In Section 2 we define the notion of an unbounded Fredholm module and the notion of a spectral triples. We then introduce Connes' pseudo metric, the metric dimension and Connes' noncommutative integration theory. In Section 3, a review the theory of the theromodynamic formalism on shift spaces as developed by Bowen and Ruelle [6, 7, 35] is presented. It is here that we include the description, of the generalised notion, of a Haar basis for a one-sided topologically exact subshift of finite type equipped with a Gibbs measure. We also introduce the relevant notions of renewal theory and deduce two useful counting results for topologically exact subshifts of finite type - Corollary 3.10 and Corollary 3.13. In Section 4, we construct a spectral triple for a topologically exact subshift of finite type equipped with a Gibbs measure for a Hölder continuous potential function and present the geometric and measure theoretical results (Theorems 4.3 and 4.2) as described above.

2. Unbounded Fredholm modules and spectral triples

In [10, 11, 12] Connes extends the notion of a compact metric space to the setting of C^*-algebras and unbounded operators on Hilbert spaces. This is done in terms of unbounded Fredholm modules and spectral triples.

Definition 2.1. Let A denote a unital C^*-algebra. An *unbounded Fredholm module* (H, D) over A, consists of a separable Hilbert H, a faithful $*$-representation $\pi : A \to B(H)$ and an operator D, called a Dirac operator, such that the following hold. The operator D is an essentially self-adjoint, unbounded linear operator with compact resolvent, such that the set

$$\{a \in A \,:\, [D, \pi(a)] \text{ is densely defined and extends to a bounded operator on } H\}$$

is norm dense in A. A triple (A, H, D) with the above description is called a *spectral triple.*

By Gelfand and Naĭmark's classification theorem for C^*-algebras [16] it is necessarily the case, that given a C^*-algebra A there exists a Hilbert space H and a faithful $*$-representation $\pi : A \to B(H)$. Since such a $*$-representation is not necessarily unique, it is important to specify the $*$-representation. For this reason we occasionally use the notation (A, H, π, D) for a spectral triple. Also, observe that in Definition 2.1 it is not necessary for the C^*-algebra to be unital.

The compact resolvent property of D in Definition 2.1 can be regarded as a generalisation of the ellipticity property of the standard Dirac operator defined on a compact smooth Riemannian manifold (see [27]). The condition that the closure of $[D, \pi(a)]$ is densely defined and extends to a bounded operator is analogous to a Lipschitz condition (see [4, 27]).

Often Definition 2.1 is strengthen to include a summability statement. Let $p > 0$ be given. A spectral triple (A, H, D) is said to be *p-summable* if and only if

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(1 + D^2\right)^{-\frac{p}{2}}\right) < \infty; \tag{1}$$

it is called $(p, +)$-*summable*, for $p > 1$, if and only if

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{1-1/p}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma_k\left((1 + D^2)^{1/2}\right) < \infty; \tag{2}$$

and it is call $(1, +)$-*summable* if and only if

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ln(N)} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma_k\left((1 + D^2)^{1/2}\right) < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Here, for a Hilbert space H, an operator $T \in B(H)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\sigma_k(T)$ denote the k-th largest singular value, including multiplicities, of T. A spectral triple is called *finitely summable* if (1) holds for some real $p > 0$. This is equivalent to there existing a $p > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ln(N)} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma_k\left(\left(1 + D^2\right)^{-p/2}\right) < \infty. \tag{4}$$

The proof of this equivalence is given by the following analytic result on sequences.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote a monotonically increasing unbounded sequence of positive real numbers and set*

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1 &:= \sup\left\{\alpha \geqslant 0 : \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} {x_k}^{-\alpha}}{\ln(N)} = \infty\right\}, \\
d_2 &:= \inf\left\{\alpha \geqslant 0 : \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} {x_k}^{-\alpha}}{\ln(N)} = 0\right\}, \\
d_3 &:= \inf\left\{\alpha \geqslant 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} {x_k}^{-\alpha} < \infty\right\} = \sup\left\{\alpha \geqslant 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} {x_k}^{-\alpha} = \infty\right\}, \\
d_4 &:= \left(\liminf_{k \to \infty} \frac{\ln(x_k)}{\ln(k)}\right)^{-1} \quad \textit{(where we use the convention that } 1/\infty = 0\textit{).}
\end{aligned}$$

If any of the above are positive and finite, then they are all equal.

Proof. The proof of this lemma is a simple analytic exercise on sequences. □

2.1. **Connes' Pseudo Metric.**

In this section we describe Connes pseudo-metric on the state space of a C^*-algebra A which is induced by an unbounded Fredholm module (H, D) over A.

A compact metric space X naturally embeds into the state space $\mathcal{S}(C(X; \mathbb{C})$ of $C(X; \mathbb{C})$ and by the Riesz Representation Theorem we have that $\mathcal{S}(C(X); \mathbb{C})$ coincides with $M(X)$, the space of Borel probability measures on X. By the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem this space is weak-$*$-compact. Moreover, letting $\mathrm{Lip}(X; \mathbb{C})$ denote the subset of $C(X; \mathbb{C})$ consisting of Lipschitz continuous functions and letting $\mathrm{Lip}(f)$ denote the Lipschitz constant of $f \in \mathrm{Lip}(X; \mathbb{C})$, the *Monge-Kantorovitch metric* given, for all $\mu, \nu \in M(X)$, by

$$d_{MK}(\mu, \nu) := \sup \left\{ \int_X f \, d\mu - \int_X f \, d\nu \; : \; f \in \mathrm{Lip}(X; \mathbb{C}) \text{ with } \mathrm{Lip}(f) \leqslant 1 \right\},$$

defines a metric on $M(X)$ whose topology coincides with the weak-$*$-topology.

Analogously, given a spectral triple (A, H, π, D), Connes defines a pseudo-metric $d : \mathcal{S}(A) \times \mathcal{S}(A) \to \mathbb{R}$ given, for each $p, q \in \mathcal{S}(A)$, by

$$\begin{aligned} d(p, q) := \sup\{ |p(a) - q(a)| \; : a \in A \text{ and } [D, \pi(a)] \text{ is densely defined and} \\ \text{extends to a bounded operator with norm } \leqslant 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

The term pseudo-metric is used since $d(p, q)$ is not necessarily finite for all $p, q \in \mathcal{S}(A)$. Conditions under which Connes' pseudo-metric is a metric are given by Rieffel and Pavlović and are re-stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. (Rieffel [33] and Pavlović [30]) *For a spectral triple (A, H, π, D) the following hold.*

1. *Connes pseudo-metric is a metric if and only if the set*

   $$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_D := \{ a \in A \; : [D, \pi(a)] \text{ is densely defined and extends to a} \\ \text{bounded operator defined on } H \text{ with norm } \leqslant 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

   has a bounded image in the quotient space $A/\{z\mathbb{I} : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where $\mathbb{I}$ denotes the unit of A.
2. *The topology induced by Connes pseudo-metric d coincides with the weak-$*$-topology on $\mathcal{S}(A)$ if and only if the set A_D has a totally bounded image in the quotient space $A/\{z\mathbb{I} : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$.*

Definition 2.4. A spectral triple (A, H, D) is called a *spectral metric space* if Connes' pseudo-metric is a metric.

2.2. **Infinitesimals, Measurability and Dimension.**

Recall that the Hausdorff dimension of a subset E of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$\inf\{ s > 0 \, : \, \mathcal{H}^s(E) = 0 \},$$

where $\mathcal{H}^s$ denotes the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure (see [14] for more details). The Hausdorff dimension defines a geometric characteristic of the set E, and as we will see, is also encoded in the Dirac operator of a spectral triple.

In this section, we introduce the expectation of a compact operator which arises within the theory of operator algebras and then present the definition of the metric dimension of a spectral triple introduced by Connes [12]. Having developed these notions, we are then able to define the noncommutative integral which is defined only for a finitely summable spectral triple.

In the theory of operator algebras, and hence, noncommutative geometry, the notion of an expectation/integral of a positive compact operator $T \in K(H)$, defined on a complex separable Hilbert space H, is given by the coefficient of logarithmic divergence of the singular values of T. In particular, the ideal of compact operators $K(H)$ provides the "infinitesimal" of noncommutative geometry. Heuristically, in setting of "standard" geometry, an infinitesimal is an "object" smaller than any feasible measurement and not zero in "size", but so small that it cannot be distinguished from zero by any available means. As a matter of interest, we remark that the founders of calculus, Euler, Leibniz, and Newton initially formulated the theory of calculus using infinitesimals. However, the notion and definition was foreshadowed in Archimedes' script *The Method of Mechanical Theorems*.

Early attempts to define an expectation within the theory of operator algebras (see [36]) used ordinary traces of Hilbert space operators, where trace-class operators were thought to be the analogy of integrable functions. However, it soon became apparent that this is not sufficient. In 1966 Dixmier [13] found other tracial states that are more suitable. He noted that to appropriately define an expectation within the theory of operator algebras, one must suppress infinitesimals of order higher than one. In particular, one wants to find the coefficient of the divergence rate of the singular values of an infinitesimal operator of order one.

Definition 2.5. Let H denote a complex separable Hilbert space and let $K(H)$ denote the ideal of compact operators in $B(H)$. Then $T \in K(H)$ is an *infinitesimal of order* $s > 0$, if there exist positive constants c_1, c_2 such that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$c_1 k^{-s} \leqslant \sigma_k(T) \leqslant c_2 k^{-s}.$$

Definition 2.6. The *Dixmier ideal* of a separable Hilbert space H is denoted by $\mathcal{L}^{1,+}(H)$ and is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}^{1,+}(H) := \left\{ T \in K(H) : \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma_k(T)}{\ln(N)} < \infty \right\}.$$

For a state $\mathcal{W}$ on $l^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ satisfying the conditions of [9, Theorem 1.5], we define the $\mathcal{W}$*-Dixmier trace* of a positive linear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}^{1,+}(H)$ by

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{W}}(T) := \operatorname{Lim}_{\mathcal{W}} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma_k(T)}{\ln(N)} \right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}, \tag{5}$$

whereby, following convention, for $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in l^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$, we set

$$\operatorname{Lim}_{\mathcal{W}}(x_1, x_2, \dots) := \mathcal{W}(x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

For a general operator in $\mathcal{L}^{1,+}(H)$ the $\mathcal{W}$-Dixmier trace is defined to be the natural complex linear extension of $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{W}}$. (Here $\mathbb{R}_+^\infty$ denotes the group of positive real numbers under multiplication.)

Definition 2.7. Let H denote a complex Hilbert space and let I denote an ideal of $B(H)$. A *singular trace on* I is a linear functional $\mathcal{T}$ with domain I such that the following hold.

1. $\mathcal{T}$ vanishes on operators with finite dimensional range.
2. If $T_1, T_2 \in I$ are such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma_k(T_1)/\sigma_k(T_2) = 1$, then $\mathcal{T}(T_1) = \mathcal{T}(T_2)$.
3. If $T_1, T_2 \in I$ have the property that $\sigma_k(T_1) \leqslant \sigma_k(T_2)$ for all but a finite number of $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{T}(T_1) \leqslant \mathcal{T}(T_2)$.

(4) For all $T_1, T_2 \in I$, we have that $\mathcal{T}(T_1T_2) = \mathcal{T}(T_2T_1)$.

Theorem 2.8. (Carey-Philips-Sukochev [9]) *Let H denote a complex separable Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{W}$ denote a state on $l^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ satisfying the conditions of* [9, Theorem 1.5]. *Then the Dixmier ideal $\mathcal{L}^{1,+}(H)$ is an ideal of $B(H)$ and the functional $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is a singular trace.*

Remark. The current state of the art on Dixmier traces can be found in [8, 9].

We now define the notions of a measurable operator and the operator algebra analogue of an expectation.

Definition 2.9. If $T \in \mathcal{L}^{1,+}(H)$ and if $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{W}}(T)$ is independent of $\mathcal{W}$, meaning that the limit

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k(T)}{\ln(N)}$$

exists, then we call T *measurable*. The *noncommutative expectation* of a measurable operator $T \in \mathcal{L}^{1,+}(H)$ is denoted by $⨍ T$ and given by

$$⨍ T := \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k(T)}{\ln(N)}.$$

The metric dimension of a spectral triple (A, H, π, D) is given by the non-negative positive integer δ to which the singular values of $|1 + D^2|^{-\delta/2}$ form a logarithmically divergent series. However, such a number does not necessarily have to exist. In fact, the metric dimension only exists if the spectral triple is finitely summable.

Definition 2.10. Let (A, H, π, D) denote a finitely summable spectral triple. Then the *metric dimension* (sometimes called the *spectral dimension*) of (A, H, π, D) is defined to be the non-negative real number

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta = \delta(A, H, D) \quad &:= \quad \inf\left\{p \geqslant 0 \,:\, \mathrm{tr}((1 + D^2)^{-p/2}) < \infty\right\} \\
&= \quad \sup\left\{p \geqslant 0 \,:\, \mathrm{tr}((1 + D^2)^{-p/2}) = \infty\right\} \\
&= \quad \sup\left\{p \geqslant 0 \,:\, \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ln(N)} \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k((1 + D^2)^{-p/2}) = \infty\right\} \\
&= \quad \inf\left\{p \geqslant 0 \,:\, \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\ln(N)} \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k((1 + D^2)^{-p/2}) = 0\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark. The dimension of a spectral triple can take the value zero. The two circumstances under which the dimension is equal to zero are the following.

1. The singular values of $(1 + D^2)^{-\delta/2}$ converge to zero exponentially fast, see [2] for examples of this case.
2. The algebra and the Hilbert space are finite dimensional, in which case the Dirac operator is a self-adjoint matrix. Such spectral triples have been fully classified and the classification can be found in [28, 23, 19].

In the case that there does not exist a positive real number δ such that $(1 + D^2)^{-\delta/2}$ is an infinitesimal of order one, one examines wether there exists a positive number t such that e^{-tD^2} is of trace-class. If this is the case, one says the spectral triple is of infinite dimension (see [11, 12] for further details on spectral triples with infinite metric dimension).

For a p-summable spectral triple (A, H, π, D), the operator $|D|^{-\delta}$ generalises the notion of a volume form and the Dixmier trace of the operator $|D|^{-\delta}$ generalises the notion of a volume.

Definition 2.11. Let (A, H, π, D) denote a finitely summable spectral triple with non-zero metric dimension δ and let $\mathscr{W}$ denote a state on $l^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ satisfying the conditions of [9, Theorem 1.5]. Then the *$\mathscr{W}$-volume* of (A, H, π, D) is defined by

$$V_{\mathscr{W}} \;=\; V_{\mathscr{W}}(A, H, D) \;:=\; \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{W}}(|D|^{-\delta}),$$

where the Dixmier trace is taken over the ideal $\mathcal{L}^{1,+}(\ker(D)^\perp) \subseteq B(H)$. If $|D|^{-\delta}$ is a measurable operator, then the *noncommutative volume constant* of (A, H, D) is defined by

$$V \;=\; V(A, H, D) \;:=\; -\!\!\!\!\!\!\int |D|^{-\delta},$$

where the noncommutative integral is taken over the ideal $\mathcal{L}^{1,+}(\ker(D)^\perp) \subseteq B(H)$.

The noncommutative integral given by an unbounded Fredholm module over a unital C^*-algebra A is defined as follows.

Definition 2.12. Let (A, H, π, D) denote a finitely summable spectral triple with non-zero metric dimension δ and let $\mathscr{W}$ denote a state on $l^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ satisfying the conditions of [9, Theorem 1.5]. Then the *$\mathscr{W}$-noncommutative integral* of an element $a \in A$ with respect to the unbounded Fredholm module (H, π, D) is defined to be the complex number

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{W}}(\pi(a)|D|^{-\delta}). \tag{6}$$

Here, the Dixmier trace is taken over the ideal $\mathcal{L}^{1,+}(\ker(D)^\perp) \subseteq B(H)$. If $\pi(a)|D|^{-\delta}$ is measurable then we refer to the common values of the Dixmier traces as the *noncommutative integral* of a with respect to the unbounded Fredholm module (H, π, D).

Remark. Let (A, H, π, D) denote a finitely summable spectral triple with non-zero metric dimension δ. If $|D|^{-\delta}$ is a measurable operator, then it is not necessarily the case that $\pi(a)|D|^{-\delta}$ will be a measurable operator, for $a \in A$.

2.3. **Connes' prototype example.** The prototype of such a structure is given by the following theorem, and justifies the commonly used statement, *Spin geometries are commutative non-commutative geometries.*

Theorem 2.13. (Connes [10, 12]) *Let M denote a compact, smooth, orientable, $2n$-dimensional manifold equipped with a spin^c structure, let A denote the C^*-algebra of continuous complex-valued functions defined on M, let H denote the complex Hilbert space generated by the spinor fields of M and let D denote the square root of the Laplace-Beltrami operator (given by the Levi-Civita connection). Letting $\pi : A \to B(H)$ denote the $*$-homomorphism given by pointwise multiplication, we have that (A, H, π, D) is a p-summable spectral triple. Moreover, one recovers from the non-commutative setting the following geometric information.*

1. *The metric dimension is equal to $2n$.*
2. *Connes' pseudo-metric induces a metic equivalent to the Riemannian metric on M.*

(3) For each $a \in C^\infty(M;\mathbb{C})$*, we have that*

$$⨍ \pi(a)|D|^{-2n} = ((n-1)!2^n\pi^n n)^{-1} \int_M a \star(1),$$

where $\star$ *denotes the Hodge star operator.*

3. Thermodynamic formalism and renewal theorems in symbolic dynamics

Fix $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathscr{A} := [a_{j,k}]_{j,k}$ denote an irreducible, aperiodic, $l \times l$ matrix of zero's and one's, called the *adjacency matrix* for the alphabet $\Sigma := \{1,2,\dots,l\}$. Define $\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$ to be the space of all infinite sequences taking values in the alphabet $\{1,2,\dots,l\}$ with transitions allowed by $\mathscr{A}$, that is,

$$\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}} \ := \ \left\{ \omega := (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \{1,2,\dots,l\} \ : \ a_{\omega_k,\omega_{k+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the set of admissible k-words by

$$\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^k \ := \ \left\{ x := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \prod_{i=1}^{k} \{1,2,\dots,l\} \ : \ a_{x_i,x_{i+1}} = 1 \right\}$$

and the set of all admissible finite words by

$$\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^* \ := \ \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^k.$$

Furthermore, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^k$, we define the *cylinder set* of x by

$$[x] \ := \ \{\omega := (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}} \ : \ (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)\}.$$

The space $\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$ is compact with respect to the topology $\mathcal{T}$ generated by the cylinder sets and this topology is metrizable [41]. For instance consider the metric $d : \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}} \times \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}} \to \mathbb{R}$ given, for $\omega, \upsilon \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$, by

$$d(\omega, \upsilon) \ := 2^{-\omega \wedge \upsilon},$$

where $\omega \wedge \upsilon := \max\{\sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \omega, \upsilon \in [x], \text{ for some } x \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^n\}, 0\}$.

The *shift map* $\sigma : \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}} \to \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$ is given, for each $\omega := (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$, by

$$\sigma(\omega) \ := \ (\omega_2, \omega_3, \dots).$$

The map σ is continuous and surjective and at most l-to-one. We call the dynamical system $(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}, \sigma)$ a *topologically exact subshift of finite type.* Finally, we define a map $\alpha : \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^* \to \mathbb{N}$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^k$, by

$$\alpha(x) := \sum_{i \in \{1,2,\dots l\}} a_{x_k,i}.$$

We may interpret $\alpha(x)$ as the number of “children” of a given $x \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^*$.

3.1. The Perron-Frobenius-Ruelle Operator and Equilibrium Measures.

In this section we introduce the notions of a Gibbs measure and an equilibrium measure defined on the space $\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$ as well as the Perron-Frobenius-Ruelle operator for a one-sided, topologically exact subshift of finite type $(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}, \sigma)$. We will see that the existence of eigenmeasures of the dual of the Perron-Frobenius-Ruelle operator proves the existence of Gibbs measures, where topological pressure appears as the logarithm of the corresponding eigenvalue. The results of this section were originally presented in the work of Bowen [6, 7] and Ruelle [35].

To introduce the notion of an equilibrium measure we require the concept of entropy of a measure as introduced by Sinaĭ [39] and Kolmogorov [22] and the notion of a Gibbs measure. Let $\mathcal{M}_\sigma := M(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}, \sigma)$ denote the set of σ-invariant Borel probability measures on $\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$. Then for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma$ we define the *measure theoretical entropy* of μ with respect to σ to be the non-zero real number given by

$$h_\mu(\sigma) \;:=\; \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x\in\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^k} -\mu([x]) \ln(\mu[x]).$$

This limit always exists since the sequence $(\sum_{x\in\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^k} -\mu([x]) \ln(\mu[x]))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is subadditive. For a topologically exact subshift of finite type there always exists a unique measure of *maximal entropy* μ (also called the *Parry measure*) which maximizes the measure theoretical entropy, that is, $h_\mu(\sigma) = \sup_{\nu\in\mathcal{M}_\sigma} h_\nu(\sigma)$, see for instance [29, 41]. In certain situations, this measure is the combinatorial measure, that is, the measure which weights a cylindrical set $[x]$ with measure $1/\mathrm{card}(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^k)$, for each $x \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^k$. Such situation include the full-shift-space and symbolic representations of Schottky groups.

In order to introduce the class of Gibbs measures for a one-sided, topologically exact subshift of finite type we define the Birkhoff sums of a function $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}; \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 3.1. For $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}; \mathbb{R})$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $S_k\phi : \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}} \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the k-th *Birkhoff sum* of ϕ defined, for $\omega \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$, by $S_0\phi(\omega) := 0$ and for $k \geqslant 1$ by

$$S_k\phi(\omega) \;:=\; \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \phi(\sigma^m(\omega)).$$

Theorem 3.2. (Bowen [7]) *Let $(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}, \sigma)$ denote a one-sided topologically exact subshift of finite type and let $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}; \mathbb{R})$ denote a Hölder continuous function. Then there exists a Borel probability measure μ_ϕ on $\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$ and a uniquely determined number $P(\phi, \sigma) \in [0, \infty)$ associated to ϕ, such that for some $c > 1$, we have that*

$$c^{-1} \;\leqslant\; \frac{\mu_\phi[(\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_k)]}{e^{S_k\phi(\omega) - kP(\phi,\sigma)}} \;\leqslant\; c, \tag{7}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $\omega := (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots) \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$. Moreover, to each Hölder continuous potential function $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}; \mathbb{R})$, there exists a unique σ-invariant measure satisfying the inequalities given in Equation (7).

We refer to a measure satisfying the inequalities given in Equation (7) as a *Gibbs measure* for the *potential* ϕ. Further, each such Gibbs measure will have strictly positive entropy and the uniquely determined number $P(\phi, \sigma)$ is called the *topological pressure* of ϕ, which is also characterised by the variational principle,

$$P(\phi, \sigma) \;=\; \sup\left\{ h_\mu(\sigma) + \int_{\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}} \phi \, d\mu \;:\; \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma \right\}. \tag{8}$$

If there exists a measure $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma$, such that

$$P(\phi, \sigma) \;=\; h_\nu(\sigma) + \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \phi \, d\nu, \tag{9}$$

then we call ν an *equilibrium measure* for the potential ϕ. Setting $\phi = 0$, it is well-known that there exists a unique equilibrium measure associated to ϕ, this measure is the measure of maximal entropy.

Definition 3.3. For each $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R})$, define the *Perron-Frobenius-Ruelle operator* $\mathcal{L}_\phi : C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R}) \to C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R})$, by

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi(f)(\omega) \;:=\; \sum_{\upsilon \in \sigma^{-1}(\omega)} e^{\phi(\upsilon)} f(\upsilon)$$

and denote the dual of the Perron-Frobenius-Ruelle operator by $\mathcal{L}_\phi^*$.

By the Riesz Representation Theorem we may identify the positive linear functionals on $C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R})$ with the finite Borel measures on $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B})$ and since $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ is positive linear operator on $C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R})$, we identify $\mathcal{L}_\phi^*$ as an operator on the space of finite Borel measures. Let $M(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}})$ denote the space of Borel probability measures on $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B})$.

Theorem 3.4. (Bowen [7] and Ruelle [35]) *Let $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \sigma)$ denote a one-sided, topologically exact subshift of finite type and let $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R})$ denote a Hölder continuous function. Then the following hold.*

1. *There exists a unique Borel probability measure $\mu_\phi \in M(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}})$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi^* \mu_\phi \;=\; e^{P(\phi,\sigma)} \mu_\phi.$$

2. *The unique measure μ_ϕ is a Gibbs measure for the potential ϕ.*
3. *If ψ is a Hölder continuous function cohomologous to ϕ with respect to σ, then the associated Borel probability measures, given in part 1, are equal. (Recall that two continuous functions $\phi, \psi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{R})$ are called cohomologous if there exists a continuous function $f \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi - \psi = f - f \circ \sigma$.)*
4. *There exists a unique strictly positive eigenfunction h_ϕ of $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ such that $\mathcal{L}_\phi(h_\phi) = e^{P(\phi,\sigma)} h_\phi$ and such that*

$$\int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} h_\phi \, d\mu_\phi \;=\; 1.$$

5. *The potential function ϕ has a unique equilibrium measure ν_ϕ. Moreover, ν_ϕ is given, for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ (the Borel σ-algebra generated by $\mathcal{T}$, the set of cylinder sets of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$), by*

$$\nu_\phi(B) \;:=\; \int_B h_\phi \, d\mu_\phi.$$

   Hence, if $\phi, \psi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$ are cohomologous, then their associated equilibrium measures are equal.
6. *The unique equilibrium measure for the potential ϕ, given in part 5, is a Gibbs measure for the potential ϕ.*

(7) *(See also* [20, 31] *and reference within.) The function* $p := p_\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *defined for each* $t \in \mathbb{R}$*, by* $p(t) := P(t\phi)$*, is a convex, real analytic function where*

$$p'(t) \;=\; \int_{\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}} \phi \, d\nu_{t\phi}, \quad \textit{and} \quad p''(t) \;=\; \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Var}_{\nu_{t\phi}}(S_k \phi).$$

Here,

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\nu_{t\phi}}(S_k\phi) \;:=\; \int_{\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}} \left(S_k(\phi - E(\phi))\right) \, d\nu_{t\phi}$$

where $E(S_k(\phi)) := \int_{\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}} S_k(\phi) d\nu_{t\phi}$ *denotes the expectation of* $S_k(\phi)$ *with respect to* $\nu_{t\phi}$*.*

Remark. The limit $\lim_{k\to\infty} \operatorname{Var}_{\nu_{t\phi}}(S_k\phi)/k$ always exists and is called the *asymptotic variance* of $(S_k\phi)$ with respect to $\nu_{t\phi}$, [31].

3.2. **A Haar basis for subshifts of finite type.**

In what follows, we develop an essential notion which will be required in Section 4. This notion is that of a generalisation of a Haar basis for the middle third Cantor set to the setting of a one-sided topologically exact subshift of finite type.

Throughout this section let $(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}, \sigma)$ denote a one-sided, topologically exact subshift of finite type and let μ_ϕ denote a Gibbs measure for a Hölder continuous potential $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}; \mathbb{R})$. Further, we make the following definitions and fix the following notation.

(1) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^k$, fix a bijection

$$\theta_x : \{y \in \Sigma \,:\, a_{x_k, y} = 1\} \to \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha(x)\}.$$

Observe that for each $x \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^*$, the function θ_x places an ordering on the "children" of x.

(2) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^k$, define the weighted inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x : \mathbb{R}^{\alpha(x)} \times \mathbb{R}^{\alpha(x)} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\langle (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{\alpha(x)}), (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{\alpha(x)}) \rangle_x \;:=\; \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(x)} \mu_\phi([x\theta_x^{-1}(j)]) r_j s_j$$

and observe that the set

$$\{f_{x,j} \;:=\; (\mu_\phi([x\theta_x^{-1}(j)]))^{-1/2} \, \delta_{j,\alpha(x)} : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \alpha(x)\}\}$$

forms an orthonormal basis for $(\mathbb{R}^{\alpha(x)}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x)$, where $\delta_{j,k}$ denotes the j-th standard unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^k$ and where $[x\theta_x^{-1}(j)]$ denotes the cylinder set $[(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \theta_x^{-1}(j))]$, for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

(3) For each $x \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^*$, let Ω_x denote the set defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_x \;:=\; \{U : \mathbb{R}^{\alpha(x)} \to \mathbb{R}^{\alpha(x)} \; &: \text{is linear and has positive determinant,} \\ &\langle U(\mathrm{v}), U(\mathrm{u}) \rangle_x = \langle \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u} \rangle_x \text{ for all } \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{\alpha(x)} \\ &\text{and } U(f_{x,\alpha(x)}) = (\mu_\phi([x]))^{-1/2}(1, 1, \dots, 1)\}\} \end{aligned}$$

and fix a family $(U_x)_{x \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^*}$, where $U_x \in \Omega_x$.

(4) For each $(x, j) \in \bigcup_{y \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^{*}} \{y\} \times \{1, 2, \ldots, \alpha(y) - 1\}$, define $e_{x,j} : \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$e_{x,j} \;:=\; \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(x)} (\mu_\phi([x(\theta_x^{-1}(k))]))^{-1/2} \, \langle f_{x,k}, U_x(f_{x,j}) \rangle_x \, \mathbb{1}_{[x(\theta_x^{-1}(k))]}.$$

Here, for each $y \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^{*}$, we let $\mathbb{1}_{[y]}$ denote the characteristic function on the cylinder set $[y]$.

Following convention, throughout this article, for each $a \in C(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}; \mathbb{C})$, we will also let a denote, where appropriate, the equivalence class

$$\left\{ f : \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}} \to \mathbb{C} \,:\, f \text{ is a measurable function and } \int_{\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}} |f - a| \, d\mu_\phi \;=\; 0 \right\}$$

of $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$, where $\mathcal{B}$ denotes the Borel σ-algebra generated by $\mathcal{T}$. We will also view, without loss of generality, the elements of $L^2 := L^2(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$ as complex-valued functions.

Remark. For each $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and each $x \in \Sigma_A^k$ there exists a canonical choice for U_x. We construct this canonical choice in the following manner. Assume that $\mathbb{R}^k$ is equipped with the standard Euclidean inner product, for $k \in \{2, 3, \ldots, l\}$. We will now show how to construct a (canonical) sequence of linear transformations $(V_k)_{k=1}^{l}$, where $V_k : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k$ and such that each V_k satisfies the following.

(1) V_k is orientation preserving.
(2) For every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we have that $\langle V_k(x), V_k(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$.
(3) $V_k(0, 0, \ldots, 0, 1) = n^{-1/2}(1, 1, \ldots, 1, 1)$.

For $k = 2$, one has only one choice for V_2, namely

$$V_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2} & 2^{-1/2} \\ -2^{-1/2} & 2^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $k > 2$, assume that $V_{k-1} := [v_{i,j}]_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ has been given, then define

$$\widetilde{V}_k := \begin{pmatrix} v_{1,1} & \ldots & v_{1,k-1} & 0 \\ v_{2,1} & \ldots & v_{2,k-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_{k-1,1} & \ldots & v_{k-1,k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$O_k := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & k^{-1/2} & (1 - 1/k)^{1/2} \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & -(1 - 1/k)^{1/2} & k^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{O}(k),$$

where $\mathcal{O}(k)$ denotes the orthogonal group of degree k over $\mathbb{R}$. We then define V_k to be the matrix $\widetilde{V}_k O_k \widetilde{V}_k^t$. This allows us to define a canonical choice for U_x, namely the linear transformation $S^{-1} V_{\alpha(x)} S$, where

$$S \;:=\; \operatorname{diag}\left(\mu_\phi([x\theta_x^{-1}(1)])^{1/2}, \ldots, \mu_\phi([x\theta_x^{-1}(k)])^{1/2} \right).$$

Theorem 3.5. *The set*

$$\left\{e_{x,j}\,:\,(x,j)\in\bigcup_{y\in\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^*}\{y\}\times\{1,2,\dots,\alpha(y)-1\}\right\}\,\cup\,\left\{(\mu_\phi([x]))^{-1/2}\mathbb{1}_{[x]}\,:\,x\in\Sigma\right\} \tag{10}$$

forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}},\mathcal{B},\mu_\phi)$.

Proof. For each $x\in\Sigma$, we have that

$$\|(\mu_\phi([x]))^{-1/2}\mathbb{1}_{[x]}\|_2^2 \;=\; \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}((\mu_\phi([x]))^{-1/2}\mathbb{1}_{[x]})^2 d\mu_\phi \;=\; 1.$$

For each $(x,j)\in\bigcup_{y\in\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^*}\{y\}\times\{1,2,\dots,\alpha(y)-1\}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|e_{x,j}\|_2^2 &= \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(x)}(\mu_\phi([x(\theta_x^{-1}(k))]))^{-1/2}\,\langle f_{x,k},U(f_{x,j})\rangle_x\,\mathbb{1}_{[x(\theta_x^{-1}(k))]}\right)^2 d\mu_\phi\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(x)}\langle f_{x,k},U_x(f_{x,j})\rangle_x^2\\ &= \|U_x(f_{x,j})\|_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_x}^2 \;=\; 1.\end{aligned}$$

In order to show that the set given in Equation (10) is orthonormal we need to check the following cases.

1. For $x\in\Sigma$ and $(y,j)\in\bigcup_{u\in\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^*}\{u\}\times\{1,2,\dots,\alpha(u)-1\}$ we need to show that $\langle(\mu_\phi([x]))^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{[x]},e_{y,j}\rangle_2=0$.
2. For $(x,j),(x,k)\in\bigcup_{y\in\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^*}\{y\}\times\{1,2,\dots,\alpha(y)-1\}$ with $j\neq k$ we need to show that $\langle e_{x,j},e_{x,k}\rangle_2=0$.
3. For $(x,j),(y,k)\in\bigcup_{u\in\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^*}\{u\}\times\{1,2,\dots,\alpha(u)-1\}$ with $x\neq y$ we need to show that $\langle e_{x,j},e_{y,k}\rangle_2=0$.

Since the proofs of each of these cases are similar we provide a proof of Case 3 and leave the other two case to the reader. Suppose that $(x,j),(y,k)\in\bigcup_{u\in\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^*}\{u\}\times\{1,2,\dots,\alpha(u)-1\}$ with $x\neq y$, then we have the following cases.

(a) If $[x]\cap[y]=\varnothing$, then it is clear that $\langle e_{x,j},e_{y,k}\rangle_2=0$.

(b) If $[x]\subset[y]$, then there exists a constant $C\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle e_{x,j},e_{y,k}\rangle_2\\ &\quad= C\int_{[y]}\sum_{m=1}^{\alpha(x)}(\mu_\phi([x(\theta_x^{-1}(m))]))^{-1/2}\langle f_{x,m},U_x(f_{x,j})\rangle_x\mathbb{1}_{[x(\theta_x^{-1}(m))]}\,d\mu_\phi\\ &\quad= C\sum_{m=1}^{\alpha(x)}(\mu_\phi([x(\theta_x^{-1}(m))]))^{1/2}\langle f_{x,m},U_x(f_{x,j})\rangle_x\\ &\quad= C(\mu([x]))^{1/2}\langle U_x(f_{x,\alpha(x)}),U_x(f_{x,j})\rangle_x \;=\; 0.\end{aligned}$$

(c) If $[y]\subset[x]$, then using a symmetric argument to that given in Part (b), we have that $\langle e_{x,j},e_{y,k}\rangle_2=0$.

By construction, every characteristic function of a cylinder set can be generated by a finite sum of elements of the set

$$\left\{e_{x,j}\,:\,(x,j)\in\bigcup_{y\in\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^*}\{y\}\times\{1,2,\dots,\alpha(y)-1\}\right\}\,\cup\,\left\{(\mu_\phi([x]))^{-1/2}\mathbb{1}_{[x]}\,:\,x\in\Sigma\right\}.$$

Therefore, the complex linear span of the above set contains the set of locally constant functions with finite range, which is L^2-norm dense in $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$. Hence, the result follows. $\square$

Definition 3.6. We refer to the basis given in Theorem 3.5 as a *Haar basis for the measure space* $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mu_\phi)$.

3.3. **Renewal Theorems in Symbolic Dynamics.** When investigating the noncommutative integration theory for our spectral metric space representations of Gibbs measures (Theorem 4.3) we will make use of counting results from symbolic dynamics. These counting results are given by two different renewal theorems (Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 3.12).

For stating the necessary results, we fix the following notation. Let μ_ϕ denote a Gibbs measure for a Hölder continuous potential function $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R})$. For a finite word $x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^* \cup \{\varnothing\}$, we are interested in the asymptotic behaviour, as r tends to zero, of the sums

$$\Upsilon_x(r) := \sum_{\substack{y \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^* \text{ with} \\ \mu_\phi([y]) > r \text{ and } [y] \subseteq [x]}} 1 \tag{11}$$

and

$$\Xi_x(r) := \sum_{\substack{y \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^* \text{ with} \\ \mu_\phi([y]) > r \text{ and } [y] \subseteq [x]}} \mu_\phi([y]). \tag{12}$$

Here, when $x = \varnothing$, we set $[x] := \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Definition 3.7. For $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and x_0 belonging to the extended real numbers, we say that f is *asymptotic* to g as t tends to t_0 (and in the case that $t_0 = \pm\infty$, for all t sufficiently large, respectively sufficiently small) if $\lim_{t \to t_0} f(t)/g(t) = 1$. We write $f \sim g$ as t tends to t_0.

Theorem 3.8. (Lalley [25]) *Let $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \sigma)$ denote a one-sided, topologically exact subshift of finite type and let $\phi_1 \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}})$ denote a Hölder continuous potential function with zero pressure. Further, let $\phi_2 \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}})$ denote a non-negative but not identically zero Hölder continuous function. Then there exists a strictly positive continuous function $C : \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \to \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that,*

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{\upsilon \in \sigma^{-k}(\omega)} \phi_2(\upsilon)\, \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, r]}(S_k \phi_1(\upsilon)) \asymp C(\omega) e^r.$$

as $r \to \infty$, uniformly for $\omega \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Definition 3.9. For $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ and t_0 belonging to the extended real numbers, we say that f is *comparable* to g as t tends to t_0 if there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for all t sufficiently close to t_0 (and in the case that $t_0 = \pm\infty$, for all t sufficiently large, respectively sufficiently small), we have that $c_1 f(x) \leqslant g(x) \leqslant c_2 f(x)$. We write $f \asymp g$ as t tends to t_0

Corollary 3.10. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.8, for each $x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^* \cup \{\varnothing\}$, we have that $\Upsilon_x(t) \asymp t^{-1}$ as t tends to zero from above.*

Proof. Setting $\phi_1 := \phi$ and $\phi_2 := \mathbb{1}_{[x]}$, the result follows from Theorem 3.8. $\square$

For the next theorem we will make use of a result by Krengel, Röttger and Wacker.

Lemma 3.11. (Krengel-Röttger-Wacker [24]) *Let* $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$ *denote a stationary sequence of non-negative random variables with* $\mathbb{E}(1/Y_1) < \infty$ *and let* $N_t := \sup\{k \in \mathbb{N} : Y_1 + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_k \leqslant t\}$*. Then* N_t/t *converges in* L^1*-norm to* $1/\lim_{k\to\infty} k^{-1}(Y_1 + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_k)$*.*

Theorem 3.12. *Let* $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \sigma)$ *denote a one-sided, topologically exact subshift of finite type and let* $\nu_\phi \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma$ *denote an equilibrium measure for a Hölder continuous potential function* $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R})$ *with zero pressure. Then for each* $x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^* \cup \{\varnothing\}$*, as r tends to infinity,*

$$\int_{[x]} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{1}_{[0,r]}(-S_k\phi(\omega))\, d\nu_\phi(\omega) \sim \frac{r\nu_\phi([x])}{h_{\nu_\phi}(\sigma)}.$$

Proof. For a given $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$ It is well-known that there exists a strictly negative Hölder continuous potential function $\widetilde{\phi}$ with zero pressure cohomologous to ϕ. Therefore, by Theorem 3.4(5) and by the fact that $\|S_k\phi - S_k\widetilde{\phi}\|_\infty$ is bounded for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, it is sufficient to show the result for ϕ a strictly negative Hölder continuous potential function with zero pressure. The result then follows from Lemma 3.11 and Birkhoff's ergodic theorem, where, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the random variables Y_k are defined to be equal to $-\phi \circ \sigma^{k-1}$ with respect to the equilibrium measure ν_ϕ. □

Corollary 3.13. *Let* $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \sigma)$ *denote a one-sided topologically exact subshift of finite type and let* ν_ϕ *denote the unique equilibrium measure on* $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ *for the Hölder continuous potential* $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R})$*. Then, for each* $x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^* \cup \{\varnothing\}$*, as r tends to infinity, we have that*

$$\Xi_x(e^{-r}) \sim \frac{\nu_\phi([x])r}{h_{\nu_\phi}(\sigma)}.$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that the pressure of ϕ is equal to zero. The result then follows by an application of Equation (9), Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.12. □

4. Representing subshifts of finite type by spectral triples

Althought the construction of a spectral metric space given in [1] gives a noncommutative representation of a one-sided subshift of finite type, the measure theoretical properties of such a spectral triple essentially encodes the measure of maximal entropy. In what follows, we consider a one-sided, topologically exact subshift of finite type $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \sigma)$ and an equilibrium measure ν_ϕ for a Hölder continuous potential function $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$. By refining Antonescu-Ivan's and Christensen's construction, we provide a spectral metric space which represents the metric space $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, d)$ whose measure theoretical properties encode the measure ν_ϕ. Indeed, by breaking down the projections used in Theorem 2.1 of [1] and by relating the singular values of the Dirac operator to the ν_ϕ-measure of the cylinder sets of $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$, we prove that a spectral triple $(A, H, D) := (C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C}), L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \nu_\phi), D_{\nu_\phi})$ can be constructed so that the following hold.

1. Connes' pseudo-metric is a metric on $\mathcal{S}(A)$. Moreover, the topology induced by Connes' pseudo metric on $\mathcal{S}(A)$ coincides with the weak-$*$-topology defined on $\mathcal{S}(A)$.

(2) (A, H, D) is $(1, +)$-summable with metric dimension equal to one.
(3) The noncommutative integral given by (A, H, D) agrees with the integral with respect to ν_ϕ.
(4) The noncommutative volume constant of (A, H, D) is equal to $1/h_{\nu_\phi}(\sigma)$.

We begin by fixing the following notation. Let μ_ϕ denote a Gibbs measure for some Hölder continuous potential function $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{R})$ and let

$$\left\{(\mu_\phi([x]))^{-1/2}\mathbb{1}_{[x]} \,:\, x \in \Sigma\right\} \cup \left\{e_{x,j} \,:\, (x, j) \in \bigcup_{y \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^*} \{y\} \times \{1, 2, \ldots, \alpha(y) - 1\}\right\}$$

denote a Haar basis for $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$, as described in Subsection 3.2. Let $\tau_{\mu_\phi} : C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the tracial state defined, for each $a \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$, by

$$\tau_{\mu_\phi}(a) := \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \, d\mu_\phi.$$

Then the Gelfand-Naĭmark-Segal completion of $C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$ with respect to τ_{μ_ϕ} is the Hilbert space $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$. Define the operator D_{μ_ϕ} on $\mathrm{Dom}(D_{\mu_\phi})$, a dense subset of $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$, by

(13)
$$D_{\mu_\phi} := \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \frac{1}{\mu_\phi([x])} \langle \cdot, \mathbb{1}_{[x]} \rangle \mathbb{1}_{[x]} - \langle \cdot, \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} + \sum_{y \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^*} \frac{\alpha(y) - 1}{\mu_\phi([y])} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(y)-1} \langle \cdot, e_{y,k} \rangle e_{y,k}.$$

Observe that the domain of D_{μ_ϕ} contains all finite linear combinations of element of the given Haar basis, and thus D_{μ_ϕ} is a densely defined operator.

Theorem 4.1. *The triple* $(C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C}), L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \pi, \mu_\phi), D_{\mu_\phi})$ *is a spectral triple, where* $\pi : C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C}) \to B(L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi))$ *is given by* $\pi(a)h := a \cdot h$, *for each* $a \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$ *and each* $h \in L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$.

Proof. It is clear to see that the set $C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$ equipped with the supremum norm is a C^*-algebra, that $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$ is a complex Hilbert space and that $(\pi, L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi))$ is a faithful $*$-representation of $C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$. Further, we have that the domain of D_{μ_ϕ} contains all finite linear combinations of element of the given Haar basis, and thus D_{μ_ϕ} is a densely defined operator. Observe that by the properties of a Gibbs measure (Equation (7)), the operator $(1 + D_{\mu_\phi}^2)^{-1/2}$ is a bounded operator on $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$ which can be approximated by operators in $B(L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$ with finite dimensional range. Therefore, D_{μ_ϕ} has a compact resolvent. Moreover, the sets $\mathrm{Ran}(D_{\mu_\phi} \pm i1)$ are L^2-norm-dense in $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$. Indeed, this follows since D is a linear operator and since we have the following.

(1) For each $x \in \Sigma$, we have that
$$(D_{\mu_\phi} \pm i1)\left(\frac{1}{1 \pm i}(\mathbb{1}_{[x]}) \mp i\mu_\phi([x])\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}\right) = \mathbb{1}_{[x]}.$$
(2) For each $(x, j) \in \bigcup_{y \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^*} \{y\} \times \{1, 2, \ldots, \alpha(y) - 1\}$, we have that
$$(D_{\mu_\phi} \pm i1)\left(\frac{\mu_\phi([x])}{\alpha(x) - 1 \pm i}\, e_{x,j}\right) = e_{x,j}.$$

Moreover, we have that D_{μ_ϕ} is symmetric on its domain. Indeed, for each $h_1, h_2 \in \mathrm{Dom}(D_{\mu_\phi}) \subset L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$, a short calculation using the fact that $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi)$ is separable, and hence, that every element can be expressed as a linear (possibly infinite) combination of basis elements will show that $\langle D_{\mu_\phi}(h_1), h_2 \rangle = \langle h_1, D_{\mu_\phi}(h_2) \rangle$.

Hence, by [32, Corollary to Theorem VIII.3], it follows that D_{μ_ϕ} is an essentially self-adjoint operator. Therefore, in order to show that $(C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}};\mathbb{C}), L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}},\mathcal{B},\mu_\phi), D_{\mu_\phi})$ is a spectral triple, all that remains to show is that the set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} := \{a \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}};\mathbb{C}) \ &: [D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)] \text{ is densely defined and extends to} \\ &\quad \text{a bounded operator defined on } L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}},\mathcal{B},\mu_\phi)\}\end{aligned}$$

is norm-dense in $C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}};\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the supremum norm. To this end, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the finite dimensional algebra $\mathcal{C}_k$ consisting of locally constant complex-valued functions spanned by the set $\{\mathbb{1}_{[x]} : x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ and let H_k denote the subspace of $\mathcal{L}^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}},\mathcal{B},\mu_\phi)$ spanned by the functions in $\mathcal{C}_k$. Observe that $\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_k$ is dense under the supremum norm in $C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}};\mathbb{C})$ and thus the result will follow, if for an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_k$, the commutator $[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(f)]$ is defined and bounded. Further, observe that by the construction of the Haar basis, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the subspace H_{k+1} is spanned by the set

$$\left\{ e_{x,j} : (x,j) \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{k} \bigcup_{y \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^m} \{y\} \times \{1,2,\ldots,\alpha(y)\} \right\} \cup \left\{ \mathbb{1}_{[y]} : y \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \right\}$$

Next, let $\beta := \sup\{\phi(\omega) : \omega \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}\} - P(\phi,\sigma) < \infty$, let $c > 1$ be the constant given in Theorem 3.2 and define $m_k := c e^{-k\beta}$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By construction $D_{\mu_\phi}|_{H_{k+1}}$ is bounded with $\|D_{\mu_\phi}|_{H_{k+1}}\| \leqslant m_k$. Further, for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_k$ and $h \in H_{k+1}$, it is clear to see that $h \in \mathrm{Dom}([D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(f)])$ and that $\|[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(f)]h\| \leqslant 2m_k \|f\|_\infty \|h\|_2$. Next we observe, for $n > k$, $y \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^n$, $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,\alpha(y)-1\}$ and $x \in \Sigma^k$, that $[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(\mathbb{1}_{[x]})]e_{y,i} = 0$ and hence, $[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(f)]e_{y,i} = 0$, for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_k$. Therefore, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_k$, the commutator $[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(f)]$ is defined and bounded by $2m_k\|f\|_\infty$ on $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H_n$, which is norm dense in $L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}},\mathcal{B},\mu_\phi)$. □

4.1. **Metric aspects.** The spectral triple given in Theorem 4.1 should be able to recover some of the geometric structure of the original space $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$. The following theorem shows that this is the case.

Theorem 4.2. *Letting* $(A,H,D) := (C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}};\mathbb{C}), L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}},\mathcal{B},\mu_\phi), D_{\mu_\phi})$*, we have that Connes' pseudo-metric* d *is a metric on* $\mathcal{S}(A)$*. Moreover, the topology induced by* d *on* $\mathcal{S}(A)$ *coincide with the weak-∗-topology defined on* $\mathcal{S}(A)$*. Hence, the spectral triple* (A,H,D) *is a spectral metric space.*

Proof. The proof of this result is motivated by the proof of [1, Theorem 2.1]. For ease of notation, set $\mathrm{card}(\Sigma) =: l \in \mathbb{N}$ and set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{D_{\mu_\phi}} := \{a \in A : \ &[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)] \text{ is densely defined and extends to a bounded} \\ &\text{operator defined on } H \text{ with norm less than or equal to one}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Our aim is to show that there exists a constant C such that $\|a - \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a\, d\mu_\phi\|_\infty \leqslant C$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_{D_{\mu_\phi}}$. Since if this was the case, then the quotient norm of $a + \{z\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ in the quotient space $\mathcal{A}_{D_{\mu_\phi}}/\{z\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} : a \in A\}$ is bounded above by C. Therefore, by the first part of Theorem 2.3, Connes' pseudo-metric d is a metric. To this end, let $\pi_0, \pi_1 : A \to A$ denote the projections given, for each $a \in A$, by

$$\pi_0(a) := \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a\, d\mu_\phi\, \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(a) := \sum_{x\in\Sigma} (\mu_\phi([x]))^{-1} \int_{[x]} a\, d\mu_\phi\, \mathbb{1}_{[x]}.$$

Further, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\pi_{k+1} : A \to A$ denote the projection given, for each $a \in A$ by

$$\pi_{k+1}(a) \\ := \sum_{x\in\Sigma} (\mu_\phi([x]))^{-1} \int_{[x]} a \, d\mu_\phi \, \mathbb{1}_{[x]} + \sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^m} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(x)-1} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \cdot e_{x,j} \, d\mu_\phi \, e_{x,j}.$$

Suppose that there exists a constants $C' > 0$ and $\beta < 0$ such that

$$\|\pi_k(a) - \pi_{k+m}(a)\|_\infty \leqslant C' \sum_{n=k}^{k+m-1} e^{n\beta} \tag{14}$$

for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_{D_{\mu_\phi}}$. Then $(\pi_k(a))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in A, with respect to the supremum norm. Therefore, the sequence $(\pi_k(a))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent. Let $b \in A$ denote its limit and observe that

$$\pi(b)\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \pi(\pi_k(a))\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{k} P_m \pi(a)\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} = \pi(a)\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}. \tag{15}$$

Here $P_1 : H \to H$ denotes the projections given by

$$P_1 := \sum_{x\in\Sigma} (\mu_\phi([x]))^{-1} \langle \cdot, \mathbb{1}_{[x]} \rangle \mathbb{1}_{[x]},$$

and, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $P_{k+1} : H \to H$ denote the projection given by

$$P_{k+1} := \sum_{x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^k} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(x)-1} \langle \cdot, e_{x,j} \rangle e_{x,j}.$$

Since $\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}$ is a separating and cyclic vector for the subalgebra $\pi(A)$ in $B(H)$, it follows from Equation (15) that $b = a$. Now, for each $a \in \mathcal{A}_{D_{\mu_\phi}}$, since $D_{\mu_\phi}\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \geqslant \|\overline{[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)]}\| &\geqslant \|P_1 \overline{[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)]}\| \\ &= \|P_1 [D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)]\| \\ &\geqslant \|P_1 [D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)] \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_2 \\ &= \|P_1 D_{\mu_\phi} \pi(a) \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} - P_1 \pi(a) D_{\mu_\phi} \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_2 \\ &= \|P_1 D_{\mu_\phi} \pi(a) \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)]} \in B(L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi))$ denotes the continuous extension of the densely defined operator $[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)]$. Further, by the Gibbs property of μ_ϕ, as stated in Equation (7), and since μ_ϕ is a probability measure, we have $0 < \mu_\phi([x]) <$

1, for each $x \in \Sigma$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\geqslant \| P_1 D_{\mu_\phi} \pi(a) \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \|_2 \\
&= \left(\langle P_1 D_{\mu_\phi} \pi(a) \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}, P_1 D_{\mu_\phi} \pi(a) \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \rangle_2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \left(\left(\int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \, d\mu_\phi \right)^2 + \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \frac{1}{\mu_\phi([x])^2} \left(\int_{[x]} a \, d\mu_\phi \right)^2 - \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \, d\mu \int_{[x]} a \, d\mu \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \left(\sum_{x \in \Sigma} \frac{1}{\mu_\phi([x])^2} \left(\int_{[x]} a \, d\mu_\phi \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{l^{1/2}} \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \frac{1}{\mu_\phi([x])} \left| \int_{[x]} a \, d\mu_\phi \right| \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{2 \cdot l^{1/2}} \left(\left| \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \, d\mu_\phi \right| + \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \frac{1}{\mu_\phi([x])} \left| \int_{[x]} a \, d\mu_\phi \right| \right) \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{2 \cdot l^{1/2}} \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \, d\mu_\phi \right| + \frac{1}{\mu_\phi([x])} \left| \int_{[x]} a \, d\mu_\phi \right| : x \in \Sigma \right\} \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{2 \cdot l^{1/2}} \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \, d\mu_\phi - \frac{1}{\mu_\phi([x])} \int_{[x]} a \, d\mu_\phi \right| : x \in \Sigma \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2 \cdot l^{1/2}} \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \, d\mu_\phi \, \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}(\omega) - \sum_{x \in \Sigma} \frac{1}{\mu_\phi([x])} \int_{[x]} a \, d\mu_\phi \, \mathbb{1}_{[x]}(\omega) \right| : \omega \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2 \cdot l^{1/2}} \left\| \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \, d\mu_\phi \, \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} - \sum_{x \in \Sigma} (\mu_\phi([x]))^{-1} \int_{[x]} a \, d\mu_\phi \, \mathbb{1}_{[x]} \right\|_\infty \\
&= \frac{1}{2 \cdot l^{1/2}} \| \pi_0(a) - \pi_1(a) \|_\infty .
\end{aligned}$$

(Recall that $l := \text{card}(\Sigma)$.) Thus, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_{D_{\mu_\phi}}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \pi_0(a) - \pi_k(a) \|_\infty &\leqslant \| \pi_0(a) - \pi_1(a) \|_\infty + \| \pi_1(a) - \pi_k(a) \|_\infty \\
&\leqslant 2 \cdot l^{1/2} + C'(1 - e^{\beta/2})^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Letting k tend to infinity, we then have

$$\| \pi_0(a) - a \|_\infty \leqslant 2 \cdot l^{1/2} + C'(1 - e^{\beta/2})^{-1},$$

for each $a \in \mathcal{A}_{D_{\mu_\phi}}$. Hence, it remains is to show that there exists constants $C' > 0$ and $\beta < 0$ which satisfy Equation (14). To this end, recall that h_ϕ denotes the unique eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ given by Theorem 3.4(4). Then $\widetilde{\phi} := \phi - P(\phi, \sigma) + \log h_\phi - \log h_\phi \circ \sigma \in C(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$ defines the strictly negative normalized Hölder continuous potential function with zero pressure cohomologous to ϕ. By Theorem 3.4(5) the measures μ_ϕ and $\mu_{\widetilde{\phi}}$ are equal. Since μ_ϕ satisfies the Gibbs property as stated in Equation (7), for some $c > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_\phi([\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k]) &\leqslant c^2 e^{S_k \widetilde{\phi}(\omega) - S_{k+1} \widetilde{\phi}(\omega)} \mu_\phi([\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{k+1}]) \\
&\leqslant c^2 e^{-\gamma} \mu_\phi([\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{k+1}])
\end{aligned}$$

for each $\omega := (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\gamma := \inf\{\widetilde{\phi}(u) : u \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}\}$. Set $\beta = \sup\{\widetilde{\phi}(u)/2 : u \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ and observe that since $\widetilde{\phi}$ is continuous and strictly negative and since $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ is compact, β is finite and strictly less than zero. Further, by the Gibbs property of μ_ϕ, we have $c_k := \sup\left\{(\mu_\phi([x])) : x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^k\right\} \leqslant c e^{2k\beta}$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, for each $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_{D_{\mu_\phi}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\pi_k(a) - \pi_{k+m}(a)\|_\infty \\
&= \sup_{\omega \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \left| \sum_{n=k}^{k+m-1} \sum_{x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(x)-1} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a\, e_{x,j}\, d\mu_\phi\, e_{x,j}(\omega) \right| \\
&\leqslant \sup_{\omega := (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{n=k}^{k+m-1} \sum_{x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^n} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(x)-1} |\langle a, e_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n), j}\rangle| |e_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n), j}(\omega)| \\
&\leqslant \sup_{\omega := (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{n=k}^{k+m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)-1} |\langle a, e_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n), j}\rangle| |e_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n), j}(\omega)| \\
&\leqslant c e^{\gamma/2} \sup_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{n=k}^{k+m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)-1} \frac{|\langle a, e_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n), j}\rangle|}{\mu_\phi([(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n])^{1/2}} \\
&\leqslant c e^{\gamma/2} \sup_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{n=k}^{k+m-1} c_n^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)-1} \frac{|\langle a, e_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n), j}\rangle|}{\mu_\phi([(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n])} \\
&\leqslant c e^{\gamma/2} \sup_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{n=k}^{k+m-1} e^{n\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)-1} \frac{|\langle a, e_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n), j}\rangle|}{\mu_\phi([(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n])}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\overline{[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)]} \in B(L^2(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi))$ denotes the continuous extension of the densely defined operator $[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)]$. Now since $D_{\mu_\phi} \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} = 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 &\geqslant \|\overline{[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)]}\|^2 \\
&\geqslant \|P_{k+1} \overline{[D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)]}\|^2 \\
&= \|P_{k+1} [D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)]\|^2 \\
&\geqslant \|P_{k+1} [D_{\mu_\phi}, \pi(a)] \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_2^2 \\
&\geqslant \|P_{k+1} D_{\mu_\phi} \pi(a) \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} - P_{k+1} \pi(a) D_{\mu_\phi} \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_2^2 \\
&\geqslant \|P_{k+1} D_{\mu_\phi} \pi(a) \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}}\|_2^2 \\
&= \sum_{x \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^k} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(x)-1} \frac{1}{\mu_\phi([x])^2} |\langle a, e_{x,j}\rangle|^2 \\
&\geqslant \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)-1} \frac{1}{\mu_\phi([(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k)])^2} |\langle a, e_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k), j}\rangle|^2 \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{l} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)-1} \frac{1}{\mu_\phi([(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k)])} |\langle a, e_{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k), j}\rangle| \right)^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $a \in \mathcal{A}_{D_{\mu_\phi}}$ $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega := (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}$. Therefore, by setting $C' = l^{1/2} c e^{\gamma/2}$, we have that

$$\|\pi_k(a) - \pi_{k+m}(a)\|_\infty \leqslant C' \sum_{n=k}^{k+m-1} e^{n\beta}.$$

To see that the topology induced by d on $\mathcal{S}_A$ coincides with the weak-$*$-topology we employ the second part of Theorem 2.3 and show that the image of $\mathcal{A}_{D_{\mu_\phi}}$ under the quotient map $A \to A/\{z\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}} : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is totally bounded. In fact, this is an immediate consequence of the following two properties, which are easily deduced from the above observations.

(1) For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and for each $a \in \mathcal{A}_{D_{\mu_\phi}}$, we have that $\|a - \pi_{k+m}(a)\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.
(2) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the space $\pi_k(A)$ is finite dimensional, and so, the closed ball of radius $2 \cdot l^{1/2} + C'(1 - e^{\beta/2})^{-1}$ in $\pi_k(A)$ is norm compact.

□

4.2. **The metric dimension and noncommutative integral.** In the following theorem we consider the metric dimension and measure theoretical aspects of the spectral triple $(C(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}};\mathbb{C}), L^2(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \mu_\phi), D_{\mu_\phi})$, as given in Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\phi \in C(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}};\mathbb{R})$ denote a Hölder continuous potential function and let ν_ϕ denote the unique equilibrium measure for the potential ϕ. Then the spectral triple*

$$(A, H, D) := (C(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}};\mathbb{C}), L^2(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}, \mathcal{B}, \nu_\phi), D_{\nu_\phi})$$

is $(1,+)$-summable. Moreover, for each $a \in C(\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}};\mathbb{C})$, we have that

$$⨍ \pi(a)|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1} = \frac{1}{h_{\nu_\phi}(\sigma)} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}} a \, d\nu_\phi \tag{16}$$

and hence (A, H, D) has metric dimension equal to one.

Proof. For each $x \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^* \cup \{\varnothing\}$, let $\Upsilon_x, \Xi_x : (0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ denote the functions that are respectively defined in Equations (11) and (12). Let $r \in (0,1)$ be fixed and let $\mathrm{card}(\Sigma) =: M \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in A$, recall that $\sigma_k(\pi(a)|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1})$ denotes the k-th largest singular value of the operator $\pi(a)|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1} \in B(\ker(D_{\nu_\phi})^\perp)$. Further, since D_{ν_ϕ} has a compact resolvent, $\sigma_k(\pi(a)|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1})$ converges to zero as k tends to infinity. Therefore, fixing $x \in \Sigma_{\mathscr{A}}^* \cup \{\varnothing\}$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\eta_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$r^{\eta_k} \leqslant \sigma_k(\sigma_k(\mathbb{1}_{[x]})|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1}) < r^{\eta_k - 1}$$

and such that η_k tends to infinity as k tends to infinity. Hence, there exists a positive constant c such that for each sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$\Xi_x(M r^{\eta_N - 1}) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma_k(\pi(\mathbb{1}_{[x]})|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1}) \leqslant c + \Xi_x(r^{\eta_N}) \tag{17}$$

and

$$\ln(\Upsilon_x(M r^{\eta_N - 1})) \leqslant \ln(N) \leqslant \ln(c + M\Upsilon_x(r^{\eta_N})). \tag{18}$$

The pressure of the potential function $\phi - P(\phi, \sigma)$ is equal to zero and by Theorem 3.4, we have that the unique equilibrium measure $\nu_{\phi - P(\phi,\sigma)}$ for the potential function $\phi - P(\phi, \sigma)$ is equal to ν_ϕ. Using this, the inequalities given in Equations (17) and (18) and by the results of Corollary 3.10 and Corollary 3.13, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma_k(\pi(\mathbb{1}_{[x]})|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1})}{\ln(N)} &\leqslant \liminf_{N\to\infty} \frac{c + \Xi_x(r^{\eta_N})}{\ln(\Upsilon_x(M r^{\eta_N - 1}))} \\ &= \liminf_{N\to\infty} \frac{\ln(r^{\eta_N})\, \nu_\phi([x])}{\ln(M^{-1} r^{-\eta_N + 1}) h_{\nu_\phi}(\sigma)} \;=\; \frac{\nu_\phi([x])}{h_{\nu_\phi}(\sigma)}.\end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument, one can deduce that

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma_k(\pi(\mathbb{1}_{[x]})|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1})}{\ln(N)} \;\geqslant\; \frac{\nu_\phi([x])}{h_{\nu_\phi}(\sigma)}.$$

Therefore, for each $x \in \Sigma^*_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \{\varnothing\}$ we have that the Dixmier trace of the operator $\pi(\mathbb{1}_{[x]})|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1}$ is independent of the state $\mathscr{W}$. Namely, we have that

$$⨍ \pi(\mathbb{1}_{[x]})|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1} \;=\; \frac{\nu_\phi([x])}{h_{\nu_\phi}(\sigma)}.$$

Subsequently, by Definition 2.10, it follows that the metric dimension of (A, H, D) is equal to 1. Now, for each state $\mathscr{W}$ (satisfying the conditions of [9, Theorem 1.5]), the operator defined, for each $a \in A$, by

$$a \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{W}}(\pi(a)|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1}),$$

is a bounded linear functional on A. Hence, by the Riesz Representation Theorem there exists a finite Borel measure ν such that, for each $a \in A$, we have that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{W}}(\pi(a)|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1}) \;=\; \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \, d\nu.$$

Further, the set $R := \{[x] \,:\, x \in \Sigma^*_{\mathcal{A}}\} \cup \{\varnothing, \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ forms a semi-ring on which the set function $\Lambda : R \to [0, \infty)$ given, for each $I \in R$, by

$$\Lambda(I) \;:=\; \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{W}}(\pi(\mathbb{1}_I)|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1}),$$

is an additive σ-additive set function. Therefore, since Λ is also σ-finite, by the Hahn-Kolmogorov Theorem, for an arbitrary state $\mathscr{W}$ (satisfying the conditions of [9, Theorem 1.5]) and for each $a \in A$, we have that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathscr{W}}(\pi(a)|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1}) \;=\; \frac{1}{h_{\nu_\phi}(\sigma)} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \, d\nu_\phi.$$

Namely, for each $a \in A$, we have that

$$⨍ \pi(a)|D_{\nu_\phi}|^{-1} \;=\; \frac{1}{h_{\nu_\phi}(\sigma)} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}} a \; d\nu_\phi.$$

□

Remark. In [5], Bellissard and Pearson presents an alternative spectral triple to that considered here, which represents the full shift space (Σ^∞, σ) on two symbols equipped with an ultra-metric. An example of such an ultra metric is given, for $\omega, \upsilon \in \Sigma^\infty$ by

$$d_{\nu_\phi}(\omega, \upsilon) \;:=\; \inf\{\nu_\phi([x]) \,:\, x \in \Sigma^* \cup \{\varnothing\} \text{ and } \omega, \upsilon \in [x]\},$$

where ν_ϕ is an equilibrium measure for a Hölder continuous potential function $\phi \in C(\Sigma^\infty; \mathbb{R})$. For such a metric, our results give that the noncommutative volume constant of Bellissard and Pearson's spectral triple is equal to $2/h_{\nu_\phi}(\sigma)$. Another recent construction of a spectral triple, which is also interesting in this context, is presented by Sharp in [38].

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the referee for carefully reading the original article and for many valuable suggestions. The second author was mainly supported by grants, EP/P50273X/1 and EP/PHDPLUS/AMC3/DTG2010 and in part by ARC - Noncommutative Fractal Geometry: New Invariants.

References

[1] C. Antonescu-Ivan, E. Christensen, Spectral triples for AF C^*-algebras and metrics on the Cantor set, Journal of Operator Theory 56 (1) (2004) 17–46.
[2] C. Antonescu-Ivan, E. Christensen, Sums of two dimensional spectral triples, Mathematica Scandinavica 100 (2007) 35–60.
[3] C. Antonescu-Ivan, E. Christensen, M. L. Lapidus, Dirac operators and spectral triples for some fractal sets built on curves, Advances in Mathematics 217 (1) (2008) 42–78.
[4] J. Bellissard, M. Marcolli, K. Reihani, Dynamical systems on spectral metric spaces, Preprint: `http://www.its.caltech.edu/~matilde/DynSysSp3.pdf`, 2010.
[5] J. Bellissard, J. Pearson, Non-commutative riemannian geometry and diffusion on ultrametric cantor sets, Journal of Noncommutative Geometry 3 (2009) 447–480.
[6] R. Bowen, Some systems with unique equilibrium states, Mathematical Systems Theory 8 (1974) 193–202.
[7] R. Bowen, Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 470, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
[8] A. L. Carey, A. Rennie, A. Sedaev, F. Sukochev, The Dixmier trace and asymptotics of zeta functions, Journal of Functional Analysis 249 (2007) 253–283.
[9] A. L. Carey, J. Phillips, F. Sukochev, Spectral flow and Dixmier traces, Advances in Mathematics 173 (2003) 68-113.
[10] A. Connes, Noncommutative differential geometry, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (62) (1985) 257–360.
[11] A. Connes, Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules, and hyperfiniteness, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 9 (1987) 207–220.
[12] A. Connes, Noncommutative Geometry, National Academy Press, 1994.
[13] J. Dixmier, Existence de traces non normales, Les Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des sciences 262A (1966) 1107–1108.
[14] K. Falconer, Techniques in Fractal Geometry, John Wiley and Sons, 1997.
[15] K. Falconer, T. Samuel, Dixmier traces and coarse multifractal analysis, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, Cambridge Online Journals doi: 10.1017/S0143385709001102 (2010) 1–13.
[16] I. M. Gelfand, M. A. Naĭmark, On the imbedding of normed rings into the ring of operators in hilbert space, Math-Net.Ru - Mathematicheskii Sbornik 12 (1943) 197–213.
[17] D. Guido, T. Isola, Dimensions and spectral triples for fractals in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Advances in Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics: Proceedings of the Conference held in Sinaia, Romania (2003).
[18] D. Guido, T. Isola, Dimensions and singular traces for spectral triples, with applications to fractals, Journal of Functional Analysis 203 (2003) 362–400.
[19] B. Iochum, T. Krajewski, P. Martinetti, Distance in finite spaces from noncommutative geometry, Journal of Geometry and Physics 37 (2001) 100–125.
[20] M. Kesseböhmer, B. O. Stratmann, Homology at infinity; fractal geometry of limiting symbols for modular subgroups, Topology 46 (2007) 469–491.

[21] M. Kesseböhmer, B. O. Stratmann, M. Stadlbauer, Radon-Nikodym representations of Cuntz-Krieger algebras and Lyapunov spectra for KMS states, Mathematische Zeitschrift 256 (4) (2006) 871–893.

[22] A. N. Kolmogorov, A new metric invariant of transient dynamical systems and automorphisms in Lebesgue spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 119 (1958) 861–864.

[23] T. Krajewski, Classification of finite spectral triples, Journal of Geometry and Physics 28 (1998) 1–30.

[24] U. Krengel, R. Rötter and U. Wacker, A renewal type mean ergodic theorem, Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete 64 (1983) 269–274.

[25] S. P. Lalley, Renewal theorems in symbolic dynamics, with applications to geodesic flow, non-euclidean tessellations and their fractal limits, Acta Mathematica 163 (1989) 1–55.

[26] M. L. Lapidus, Towards a noncommutative fractal geometry? Laplacians and volume measures on fractals, in: Harmonic analysis and nonlinear differential equations (Riverside, CA, 1995), vol. 208 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 211–252.

[27] M. Marcolli, Arithmetic noncommutative geometry, vol. 36 of University Lecture Series, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005, with a foreword by Yuri Manin.

[28] M. Paschke, A. Sitarz, Discrete spectral triples and their symmetries, J. Math. Phys. 39 (11) (1998) 6191–6205.

[29] W. Parry, Intrinsic Markov chains, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 112 (1) (1964), 55–66.

[30] B. Pavlović, Defining metric spaces via operators from unital C^*-algebras, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 186 (1998) 285–313.

[31] F. Przytycki, M. Urbanski, Conformal Fractals: Ergodic Theory Methods, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[32] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics Vol. 1: Functional Analysis, Academic Press, 1981.

[33] M. A. Rieffel, Metrics on states from actions of compact groups, Documenta Mathematica 3 (1998) 215–229.

[34] M. A. Rieffel, Compact quantum metric spaces, Contemporary Mathematics 365 (2004) 315–330.

[35] D. Ruelle, Thermodynamic Formalism: Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 5, Addisan-Wesley Publicating Company, 1978.

[36] I. E. Segal, A noncommutative extension of abstract integration, Annals of Mathematics 57 (1953) 401–457.

[37] C. Series, The infinite word problem and limit sets in fuchisian groups, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (1981) 337–360.

[38] R. Sharp, Spectral triples and Gibbs measures for expanding maps on Cantor sets, Journal of Noncommutative Geometry (2011).

[39] Y. G. Sinai, Gibbs measure in ergodic theory, Russian Mathematical Surveys 27 (4) (1972) 487–494.

[40] J. Várilly, An Introduction to Noncommutative Geometry, EMS - Lectures in Mathematics, 2006.

[41] P. Walters, An Introduction to Ergodic Theory, Springer, 2000.

Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Bremen, 28359 Bremen, Germany
E-mail address: `mhk@math.uni-bremen.de`

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, KY169SS, Scotland
E-mail address: `as57@st-andrews.ac.uk`